

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,205	10/29/2001	Richard Anthony Godwin Smith	37945-0024	2596
26633	7590 06/01/200	5	EXAMINER	
	HRMAN WHITE &	ROOKE, AGNES BEATA		
	E ISLAND AVE, NW ON, DC 20036-300	l	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1653	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/936,205	SMITH ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Agnes B Rooke	1653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or						
as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C		ing date of the final reje	ction, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 February 2005. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without cancelling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 9 and 14-19.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·•				
10. ☐ Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2 (a) . NOTE: The Applicant amended Claim 9 by adding the term "APT070." According to examiner's search APT070 is a tradename and tradenames are not patentable.

Continuation of 2 (b). NOTE: The Applicabt amended Claim 9 by additing the term "APT070" and stated that a support for the amended Claim 9 can be found in the specification, for Example, Figure 1, page 14, lines 20-26, and page 19, Example 1. However, in the application the term "APT070" is not found and the relevant pages of the specification that are cited as supporting the Amendement to Claim 9 do not contain the term "APT070," and therefore the new term is considered as a new matter.

Continuation of 5. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because as noted in 2(a) and 2(b) the Applicant introduced a new matter to the claims.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Karen Cachano Carbor Proj